

**SECRET**

15. September 1951

To:  
Fr:

Sgt. Flash Report on Carriage Test of E. O. on 13 September 1951.

1. This report is for your operational use and covers the essential questions put. While the report may not be disseminated as a whole, summaries and excerpts may be disseminated, PROVIDED the estimated reliability of the results is quoted. A formal report will follow eventually.

2. Estimated Reliability of Results: On careful review, there are indications that the subject is mentally unstable and perhaps emotionally defective, so that the reliability of the results, as far as the specific questions are concerned has to be rated "unreliable" in the scale of "reliable", "fairly reliable" and "unreliable". Insofar as my own observations are concerned, (see paragraph 5) I would rate them as "fairly reliable".

3. Questions to which the subject is apparently answering truthfully as shown: (NOTE PARAGRAPH 2!)

Will questions relating to identity:

Do you speak German well? No.

Do you speak Russian well? No.

Have you some other secret reason why you are dealing with us? No. NOTE PARA 4!

Are you a Communist? No.

Did you keep a copy of your life history or notes on it? No.

Are you married? No.

Are you an agent of the Communists? (Note para 4!)

Have you contacts with some other emigre group which you want to hide from us? No.

Are you trying to conceal something about your intentions? No. (Note para 4!)

Do you now intend to call the police? No.

4. Questions which caused sensitivity in the subject, assessed as follows (NOTE PARA 2!):

Do you know what an espionage agent is? Yes.

Were you once formerly an espionage agent of the Soviet Russians or of the Communists? No.

Are you now an espionage agent of the Soviet Russians, the Latvian Communists or of another Communist group? No. Within the general

pattern, the subject's sensitivity was not in proportion to what it would be and varied from time to time (note para 3), so that

I feel that he probably is not a Communist or agent.

Did you ever commit a crime? No.

Have you ever murdered or robbed anyone for private reasons? No.

Are you trying to conceal a crime from us? No. While the first two questions caused the subject some difficulties, the last one did not disturb him particularly. No admissions. It is probable that the subject does not

generally consider any of his actions crimes.

As far as you know, is your sex life normal? Yes. This question caused a fairly strong reaction for this subject. On questioning, subject had

considerable difficulties in recalling anything much about his love life; stated he had once been engaged in 1936 and refused to state the name of the

girl. The general pattern was not that of a homosexual personality, which

is usually characterized by very strong responses. However, he does not

admit to any homosexual activity.

**SECRET**

SECRET

appear for one reason or another to be too happy about this aspect of his history.

Did you leave the art academy of Latvia because you were against the Bolsheviks? Yes. The response was moderate and not consistent.

Subject stated he had studied "art" (sculpture or molding of something of the sort). He did not recall names of instructors and stated that a friend of his had been carted off by the Bolsheviks at that time (1940) was a fellow student. He was unclear about his motives for the study and seemed to recall no other "friends".

Are you trying to conceal something of official importance concerning your life history? No. Subject's response to this question was, for him, strong and consistent, and it is believed he is concealing some fact of (in his estimation) "official" importance about his history.

Is your principal intention to liberate your fatherland from the Communists? Yes. This question caused the subject a great degree of anxiety. When questioned, he stated he had only thought "if only this could be", but not with any particular upset of his emotions. Nevertheless, the question affected him strongly and consistently, out of all proportion to its general weight, since the most persons knew that one's intentions can not be checked. Any question of motive, for example: "Do you know what is meant by the phrase 'principal intention'?" disturbed the subject, and still the question: "Do you have some other secret purpose in dealing with us?" (No) left him relatively unmoved.

Is there something in your life with which you could be successfully blackmailed? No. On the second putting, this question, after causing absolutely no disturbance the first time, caused him difficulties the second time, usually an indication that the subject has "thought of something" in the meantime; but likely, in this subject, to indicate almost anything.

Do you now intend to eat dinner? No. Since it was noon, the answer seems strange; but the question caused him difficulty.

Do you now intend to warn somebody? No. Slight sensitivity; probably had then no such intention.

5. Summary & Estimate: Subject appears to be the person he claims to be and does not appear to consider himself either a Communist agent or a criminal. Subject appears to be hiding something he considers important about his life history and is very disturbed by questions concerning his intentions or motives. The characteristic "homosexual" pattern is missing, but subject has strong doubts concerning his sex life & habits.

Estimate: Subject appears in many ways to be a psychopathic personality and possibly in the early stages of some psychosis. He clearly lacks consistency in his emotional responses and these in turn appear dulled and unbalanced. (Technically called: "lack of affectivity".) Subject has some degree of what is referred to as the "paranoid state" and is very hard-eyed. Subject appears to be rational enough, although why he will now refuse to tell the name of his 1936 fiancée, if there was such a person, is rather baffling.

6. Remarks & Recommendations: This person may be quite dangerous and capable of extreme violence on small provocation. Incipient paranoia is very difficult.

(continued next sheet)

SECRET

**SECRET**

to diagnose without weeks of observation. This person would appear to be unsafe to use. If he has to be used, a most exhaustive investigation of his background through independent sources would be highly advisable. It should be borne in mind that persons with psychopathic tendencies are generally unsuccessful in the enterprise they undertake.

**SECRET**